

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/644,572	GILES ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Travis R. Hunnings	2632	

All Participants:

(1) Travis R. Hunnings.

(2) Samuel Giled.

Date of Interview: _____

Status of Application: _____

(3) Christopher Smith.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
2-23

Prior art documents discussed:
none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner suggested changes to claims to correct dependency problems and spelling errors. Applicants agreed to proposed changes.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPS Supervisor) **DANIEL WU**
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)